

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/745,914	12/22/2000	Antonius Henricus Maria Raaijmakers	PHN 17,819	2618
24737	7590 12/01/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			CHUNG, DAVID Y	
	P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 12/01/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/745,914	RAAIJMAKERS ET	AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Y. Chung	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this 1) a timely filed amendment	s application. A proper repent which places the application	ly to a ation in
PERIOD FOR R	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	=		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	e later than SIX MONTHS from AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT the date on which the petition un I of extension and the correspond of the shortened statutory period fifice later than three months aft	the mailing date of the final reject THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION der 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the app nding amount of the fee. The app d for reply originally set in the fina	tion See MPEP propriate extension propriate extension I Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or si	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding nun	nber of finally rejected clain	ns.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed	d amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		en considered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed So	OLELY to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	• • •	•	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2 and 4-6.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
10. ☐ Other:		TARIFUR R. CHOI PRIMARY EXA	//

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment specifies that the top contact of the photosensitive element is made so that light can enter through the ITO layer. This raises new issues requiring further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims as finally rejected were not allowable over the prior art.